| Q | LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT O Engineering Services | 96 / 100 = 96% | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|----|
| | SH WATER AND S | | |
| | VERNON | | |
| 2 | 023 Water (| | |
| Federal Water Quality | Treatment Technique | ederal violations, which include and Maximum Contaminant oose a public health risk over an e. Max of 30 points | -0 |
| State Water Quality | water operator, inade | ate violations, which include no equate water disinfection, and er outages, may lead to other resolved. Max of 10 points | -0 |
| Financial Sustainability | which can affect operative water system. An effect | lack of financial sustainability ations and maintenance of the ctive water rate can provide for ce, and future replacement of Max of 10 points | -0 |
| Operations & Maintenance | deficiencies noted dur | operation and maintenance ring water system inspections, rater quality being distributed to Max of 15 points | -0 |
| Infrastructure | during water system i | frastructure deficiencies noted nspections, which may lead to and/or water service disruption. Max of 20 points | -0 |
| Customer Satisfaction | the water system and/ | istomer complaints received by for the Louisiana Department of firmed to be a water quality or ater system. Max of 10 points | -4 |
| Secondary Contaminants | greater than the seco | vels of iron and/or manganese ondary maximum contaminant not pose a health risk but may er quality issues. Max of 5 points | -5 |
| BONUS | storage assessment ar assessment & mainten | ng an asset management plan; a nd maintenance program; well nance program; participation in or participation in a capacity Max of 10 points | +5 |

www.ldh.la.gov/watergrade



2023 Water Grade Details VERNON PARISH WATER AND SEWER COMMISSION

| Standard | Standard Maximum | Point Deductions | Detailed Assessment of Standards | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|-----|-------------------|--|
| Federal Water Quality | | 5 each | Maximum contaminant level violations | 0 | - 0 | |
| | -30 | 5 each | Treatment technique violations for Lead and Copper Rule | 0 | | |
| | | 10 | Is the system non-compliant with an administrative order? | No | | |
| State Water Quality | -10 | 1 each | Chlorine violations | 0 | - 0 | |
| | | 5 | Does the water system have an operator? | Yes | | |
| | | 5 each | Water outages and/or boil notices | 0 | | |
| Financial Sustainability -10 | | 5 | Did the system submit an acceptable rate study or implement an adequate rate? | Yes | | |
| | 10 | 5 | Did the water system submit an acceptable audit? | Yes | 0 | |
| | -10 | 10 | Is the system under a fiscal administrator for poor financial management practices? | No | - 0 | |
| | | 5 | Are there other negative circumstances that affect fiscal control of the water system? | No | | |
| Operations & Maintenance | -15 | 3 each | Unresolved significant deficiencies | | - 0 | |
| Infrastructure | -20 | 5 each | Unresolved significant deficiencies | | - 0 | |
| Customer -10 | 1 each | Valid water complaints reported | 4 | | | |
| | -10 | 10 | Did the system submit a water complaint log? | Yes | - 4 es | |
| Secondary Contaminants | -5 | 5 | Manganese and/or Iron level(s) over the secondary maximum contaminant level(s) | Yes | - 5 | |
| Bonus | +10 | 5 each | Asset management plan, storage or well assessment & maintenance plan, participation in capacity development or management training | 1 | + 5 | |
| Total Deductions + Bonus | | | | | -4 | |
| Score | | | | | 96 / 100 = 96% | |